

From: Shanelle Rodney

Good afternoon Lyn and Katie

I have read & re-read the attachment you sent through described as 'correspondence attached' and to say I am appalled at the first 2 sentences in paragraph 3 that reads _'During the meeting, the Chair, Anne Glenister, was subjected to verbal criticism by some members present. While robust discussion is part of any democratic process, the Board does not consider personal attacks to be constructive or appropriate'. _

I was present at the meeting and I have now spoken with 10 other members who were also present at the meeting. Not one of us believe these 2 sentences are accurate at all and every one of us are deeply offended that such could be written and distributed to all members when it is completely untruthful.

The Chair opened the meeting, announced there was a quorum and proceeded to inform those attending that due to an ASIC decision the meeting would be postponed and subsequently postponed the meeting without any further discussion.

A member then stood and asked a very valid question, in what I and any reasonable individual would describe as a polite, non-threatening manner. The response from the Chair was curt, short with no further verbal discussion of any sort as the meeting was closed. The Chair immediately left the host table, walked to the back of the meeting room and shortly thereafter left the meeting room altogether. A question asked in a polite non-confrontational manner does not by any definition equate to verbal criticism. Having said that though a democratic process of any type should invite discussion even criticism, unfortunately discussion of any type from members has been limited, undermined and thwarted at every turn by the Chair and Directors.

I want these 2 sentences withdrawn immediately and a revised document sent out to all members advising of the error in the first document.

Yours

Shanelle Rodney

I'm Dennis Conway. We live in a democratic society. We believe in right. We believe in the right thing. All of us here have a vested interest, either through owning a house, living the house. So would you mind explaining to me why. And I realize this with the company side, that why, over time in each year, each AGM, that the positions of the board aren't called vacant and voted on. Thank you.